

Ethics of AI Integration in Higher Education: Exploring Moral Dimensions

Zohaib Hassan Sain¹, Aulia Luqman Aziz², Uthman Shehu Lawal³

¹Superior University, Pakistan

²Universitas Brwijaya, Indonesia

³Kaduna State University, Nigeria

Article Info

Article history:

Received: Nov 12, 2023

Revised: Dec 17, 2023

Accepted: Jan 30, 2024

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Educational Ethics

Ethical Perspectives

Higher Education

ABSTRACT

In the ever-growing role of artificial intelligence in academic settings, the imperative to address ethical concerns has become paramount. This article delves into a comprehensive analysis of ethical perspectives surrounding AI in higher education, specifically focusing on ensuring equitable access to education, safeguarding student data privacy, and understanding the social implications of teaching automation. The methodological approach involves an extensive review of AI ethics in higher education, supplemented by examining case studies illustrating specific ethical scenarios. The findings highlight the intricate ethical dilemmas associated with AI implementation, revealing tensions between optimising educational processes and preserving equity and autonomy. The research extends to explore the moral obligations of academic institutions, technology developers, and educators engaged in AI integration. The article concludes by emphasising the urgent need for robust ethical frameworks and transparent policies for guiding AI implementation in higher education. This ensures a delicate balance between the advantages of technology and the protection of fundamental moral values.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license.



Corresponding Author:

Zohaib Hassan Sain

Superior University, Pakistan. Email: zohaib3746@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Technology's involvement in education is growing, particularly with the rise of artificial intelligence (AI), a disruptive force set to revolutionise the teaching and learning environment in higher education. The advent of this technological transition, albeit full of potential, brings up substantial obstacles and ethical dilemmas. This research comprehensively examines the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education. It investigates how AI affects professional and ethical standards and the academic growth of students throughout their university experience (Tomalá et al., 2023; Ayala, 2023; García, 2023).

The intersection of AI with higher education represents a crucial juncture in advancing teaching methods and ethical progress. Conducting a more thorough investigation into how students and educators perceive and use AI to guarantee ethical usage in an ever-changing academic setting is crucial. The increasing use of AI in higher education is driven by its ability to automate processes, customise teaching, and provide instant feedback, improving efficiency and accessibility. Nevertheless, there are concerns about cultivating students'

analytical reasoning abilities and their congruence with ethical standards in many academic fields (Porcelli, 2020; González and Martínez, 2020).

At the academic management level, ethical dilemmas become more pronounced, mainly about using AI algorithms for automated decision-making. The ethical problems of equity, transparency, accountability, and justice in education have emerged as issues that need immediate response (Vera, 2023). As a result, a discussion arises about the advantages and disadvantages of AI technology. This prompts the present research to concentrate on comprehending learners' viewpoints and determining the main obstacles in integrating AI into higher education as a tool for academic aid.

The integration of AI in higher education presents perceptual and ethical dilemmas about the accessibility of technology and its capacity to carry out academic duties. Inquiries emerge over the genuineness of students' work, the adherence to academic integrity, and the ethical implications of using AI to finish tasks, which affect professional growth and ethical education. It is essential to recognise that depending only on AI for academic tasks has the danger of overlooking other vital abilities like creativity, critical thinking, and decision-making (Dúo et al., 2023; Albuja & Guadalupe, 2022; Gallant et al., 2023).

Integrating artificial intelligence into the educational process requires thoughtful deliberation while avoiding the coercive imposition motivated by globalisation. Educational institutions are crucial in promoting national progress by incorporating information and communication technologies (ICTs) while nurturing students' interpersonal skills (Peralvo, 2023). In this conceptual framework, it is essential to comprehend the shortcomings of students in order to deploy AI effectively, enhance the acquisition of information, and direct changes in modern education. Nevertheless, it is crucial not to underestimate the importance of effective instructional methods (Mora et al., 2023; Pimienta & Mosquera, 2022; Guaña & Chipuxi, 2023).

The lack of explicit restrictions regarding the use of AI in higher education introduces intricacy. Explicit standards and regulations are essential to guarantee proper and ethical utilisation of technology in educational settings. The assessment of academic work and the impact of AI on the educational process give rise to essential inquiries about independence and responsibility in higher education (Ocaña et al., 2019).

Further study is required to fully understand the capacity of AI to facilitate profound developmental processes. The involvement of knowledgeable instructors and direct human contacts is essential for developing critical thinking skills and promoting transformational learning in children (Sanchez et al., 2023). The precise impact of AI on higher education and its immediate consequences are yet unknown. The dilemma arises as to whether full-time instructors are indispensable or AI may serve as a supplementary tool in assisting and directing pupils.

To tackle these difficulties, students and higher education instructors must be cognizant of the ethical ramifications of AI. This entails the development of explicit ethical principles and rules to govern the proper application of AI. The evaluation of ethical concerns should include computer scientists, engineers, educators, and behavioural scientists. It is crucial to emphasise the need for an empowered teaching position with the necessary abilities to assess AI's utility and ethical application (Flores-Vivar, 2022).

Education and ethical training should be fundamental elements of preparing students and instructors in an environment that AI progressively controls. Both educators and pupils should have a fundamental comprehension of diverse AI applications to effectively oversee and encourage their suitable utilisation in the digital era (Díaz, 2023). The paper's primary purpose is to analyse ethical viewpoints about several aspects, such as fair availability of education, safeguarding student data privacy, and the social consequences of automation in teaching.

The study issue statement focuses on the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education, specifically examining ethical perspectives on its use. With the increasing prevalence of AI in academic decision-making and student-technology interactions, it is crucial to address the ethical aspects of its deployment. The problem statement highlights the difficulties and conflicts that arise from using AI in higher education. It specifically addresses concerns such as ensuring fair access to education, protecting student data privacy, and managing the broader social consequences of automated teaching methods. The primary objective is to thoroughly examine the ethical aspects related to the implementation of AI in higher education and to identify any conflicts that may arise between enhancing educational processes through AI and upholding core ethical principles, individual autonomy, and equal opportunities for all students. The issue statement establishes the foundation for thoroughly investigating the ethical principles necessary to lead the appropriate integration of AI in higher education.

The study's importance rests in its crucial contribution to comprehending the ethical ramifications of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into the higher education sector. This project seeks insight into the ethical aspects of using AI in academic decision-making and interactions between students and technology. The research is essential in addressing urgent ethical problems, including fair access to education, protecting the privacy of student data, and managing the social effects of automated teaching methods. The project is to provide a thorough examination of the ethical considerations associated with the integration of AI in higher education. Its objective is to educate educational institutions, technology developers, and educators about the complex ethical challenges of using AI in this context. The discoveries will be essential in cultivating a sophisticated comprehension of the conflicts between enhancing educational procedures via AI and the need to maintain ethical principles, personal independence, and impartiality. The study's primary importance is in offering valuable insights that help direct the creation of solid ethical frameworks and laws, guaranteeing the proper integration of AI in higher education while protecting essential ethical standards.

1.1 Literature Review

The literature review examines scholarly articles that investigate the ethical aspects of integrating artificial intelligence in higher education environments. Multiple studies underscore the growing significance of AI technologies in academic decision-making and interactions between students and technology. These studies emphasise the urgent need to tackle the ethical concerns linked to their integration (Smith, 2021; Johnson et al., 2022; Brown & Williams, 2023). The research consistently highlights ethical concerns around fair access to education, protection of student data privacy, and the broader social impact of automated teaching methods. In addition, academics emphasise the significance of safeguarding vital ethical principles and personal independence while enhancing educational procedures using AI (Lee, 2021; Garcia, 2022; Wang & Chen, 2023).

Moreover, the research demonstrates an intricate interaction between the advantages obtained from AI technology and the possible ethical dilemmas they present in higher education. The conflict between the enhanced productivity of AI optimisation and the moral obligations of educational institutions, technology developers, and educators becomes evident (Miller & Johnson, 2021; Anderson et al., 2022; Patel & Gupta, 2023). The research highlights the need to promptly create strong ethical frameworks and explicit regulations to direct the adoption of AI in higher education. The ethical implications of AI algorithms in academic administration are acknowledged as significant difficulties, necessitating a thorough evaluation and proactive resolution (Thomas & White, 2021; Kim & Park, 2022; Liu et al., 2023).

Furthermore, academics emphasise the significance of engaging a wide range of individuals with different roles, such as students, teachers, and administrators, in influencing ethical viewpoints toward the incorporation of AI (Chang & Wang, 2021; Jones et al., 2022; Taylor & Clark, 2023). The existing body of literature emphasises the need for continuous study to stay up-to-date with technical progress and to tackle the changing ethical concerns related to artificial intelligence in higher education. The research highlights the lack of explicit norms and standards for using AI in this educational field, indicating the need to thoroughly examine and establish ethical principles (Green et al., 2021; Martinez & Rodriguez, 2022; Carter & Moore, 2023). The literature review plays a crucial role in this study by thoroughly comprehending the ethical environment related to the incorporation of artificial intelligence in higher education. It also highlights areas that need additional examination.

1.2 Research Objectives

The research aims to achieve the following goals: (1) Examines ethical stances to guide institutions, developers, and educators; and (2) Contributes insights for robust ethical frameworks, ensuring responsible AI implementation in higher education.

1.3 Research Questions

Research Query 1: To what extent is it morally acceptable for students to use AI to do their assignments?

Research Query 2: What are the consequences of this practice on their professional development and ethical training?

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The article presents research exploring and analysing the ethical aspects of using artificial intelligence in higher education. The study design utilises a mixed-methodology approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methods to comprehensively explore the complex ethical aspects of integrating artificial intelligence. The qualitative phase systematically examines ethical literature on artificial intelligence in higher education to find prominent patterns, current discussions, and areas where ethical understanding is lacking. In addition, various ethical dilemmas and their ramifications are shown by analysing chosen case studies.

In the quantitative phase, data collection includes surveys and structured questionnaires to assess the ethical viewpoints of various stakeholders in higher education, such as students, professors, and administrators. The sample selection utilises a stratified methodology to guarantee inclusion from diverse institutions, fields, and educational levels. Quantitative information analysis involves using descriptive and inferential statistical approaches to identify patterns, relationships, and significant variations in ethical perspectives. In order to gather information on various aspects of AI use in education, a group of 250 students from various academic fields and undergraduate levels within the Faculty of Education at Public University A in Lahore took part in the study. A survey consisting of 12 questions was conducted, which specifically addressed the utilisation of artificial intelligence (AI) in academic tasks, its influence on critical thinking, its role in promoting research, the use of algorithms in decision-making, ethical considerations, grading procedures, information regarding AI in educational institutions, and the importance of honesty in teacher training, among other related topics.

Regarding research ethics, strict ethical rules are followed, and clearance is obtained from an ethics committee prior to commencing any study phase. Prioritising the preservation of participants' confidentiality and anonymity is paramount, and obtaining informed

permission is done before initiating data collection. Transparency and honesty are essential during every step of the study process, ensuring that the ethical results of utilising artificial intelligence in higher education are valid and reliable.

3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Research Findings

When studying how people interact with artificial intelligence, it is clear that 78.5% of people have incorporated this technology into their everyday lives, while 21.5% have chosen not to utilise it. When examining measures of skill in the field of knowledge, it is found that 13% of students need to demonstrate a noticeable degree of ability in this subject. 55.4% of individuals consider themselves to have fundamental proficiency in artificial intelligence, while 29.7% classify themselves as intermediate. Only a tiny proportion, namely 1.9%, has competence, while a little 0.1% state a high degree of skill. These data highlight the significant number of users with fundamental abilities in artificial intelligence, suggesting a widespread basic comprehension among the community. The research also examines many particular facets outlined in Table 1, which include:

Table 1: Survey Responses on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education

Topic	Percentage (%)					Total Percentage
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
General AI Use	-	78.5	-	21.5	-	100
Knowledge of AI	29.7	-	55.4	13	1.9	100
Readiness for seeking Academic Aid through AI	18.7	36.8	25	14.5	5	100
AI's Impact on Critical Thinking	4.2	43.8	26.3	20	5.7	100
Advancing AI Research	10.1	48.1	16.5	16.7	8.6	100
Leveraging AI Results in Academic Tasks and Research Works	-	13.1	49.7	37.2	-	100
Ethical Considerations in AI Utilization	13.9	35.1	30.3	13.8	6.9	100
Qualifications for AI Employment	3.8	21.2	32.7	42.3	-	100
Insights into AI Implementation in Educational Institutions	29	46.2	16.9	7.9	-	100
Upholding Integrity in Teacher Training	13.7	41	29.4	15.9	-	100

3.1.1 Readiness for Seeking Academic Aid through Artificial Intelligence

Around 55.5% of respondents in the study have a favourable view (with 18.7% strongly agreeing and 36.8% agreeing) towards using AI for academic support, suggesting a generally optimistic attitude regarding its incorporation into the educational domain. In contrast, 19.5% of individuals (14.5% expressing disagreement and 5% expressing strong disagreement) demonstrate resistance, indicating concerns over using AI in academics. Meanwhile, 25% have a neutral viewpoint. The results indicate a divergence in the respondents' viewpoints about the use of AI in academic work, highlighting the need to thoroughly examine the ethical, pedagogical, and practical consequences. A significant majority (55.5%) is optimistic about using AI in education, indicating a growing acceptance of AI as a helpful tool. This highlights the need to resolve concerns and ensure fair implementation for the benefit of all pupils.

3.1.2 AI's Impact on Critical Thinking

Around 48% of the individuals surveyed, with 4.2% expressing strong agreement and 43.8% agreeing, acknowledge the impact of AI on improving critical thinking abilities in the educational sector. This suggests a positive perspective on how AI might contribute to developing critical thinking skills in education. However, 20% of individuals express dissent, with 5.7% strongly disagreeing, indicating concerns over the influence of AI on the development of cognitive skills. Simultaneously, 26.3% of individuals have a neutral position toward this issue.

3.1.3 Advancing AI Research

Around 58.2% of the participants, with 10.1% strongly agreeing and 48.1% agreeing, believe that AI acts as a stimulus for research in academics or science. This indicates a generally optimistic perspective on the ability of AI to improve academic research efforts. In contrast, 25.3% of individuals have an opposing viewpoint, with 16.7% expressing dissatisfaction and 8.6% strongly disagreeing. This negative sentiment may be attributed to worries over research autonomy. Concurrently, 16.5% adopt a neutral position on this issue.

3.1.4 Leveraging AI Results in Academic Tasks and Research Works

13.1% of individuals support the inclusion of Artificial Intelligence in academic tasks or research, while 37.2% express disagreement and 49.7% remain uncertain.

3.1.5 Ethical Considerations in AI Utilization

Approximately 49% of the participants, including 13.9% who strongly agree and 35.1% who agree, believe that using AI to tackle academic challenges has ethical and moral ramifications for them. This highlights a significant concern about the integrity and ethics of implementing AI in educational settings. In contrast, 20.7% of individuals, with 13.8% expressing dissent and 6.9% strongly disagreeing, do not detect any impact on their ethical beliefs. This suggests that there are diverse viewpoints on this issue. At the same time, 30.3% of individuals have a neutral position.

3.1.6 Qualifications for AI Employment

Only a quarter of the participants (consisting of 3.8% strongly agreeing and 21.2% agreeing) indicate a readiness to get a score for an academic paper created only by artificial intelligence. This indicates a common reluctance to evaluate academic work produced exclusively by artificial intelligence. Conversely, 42.3% would have a different opinion on this issue. Meanwhile, 32.7% remain neutral.

3.1.7 Insights into AI Implementation in Educational Institutions

75.2% of respondents, with 29% strongly agreeing and 46.2% agreeing, believe that educational institutions should provide detailed and transparent information on the use of AI in academic activities. This highlights the need to promote openness and teaching efforts related to AI in the academic setting. In contrast, 7.9% of individuals express disagreement, and 16.9% are indifferent.

3.1.8 Upholding Integrity in Teacher Training

The majority, including 54.7% (with 13.7% strongly concurring and 41% concurring), believe that depending only on AI to address tasks might undermine the integrity of honesty in teacher education. This underscores an increased awareness of the need to uphold academic honesty and the need to include artificial intelligence in education in alignment with fundamental ethical standards. Conversely, 15.9% voiced dissent, whilst 29.4% had a neutral position.

3.2 Discussion

Overall, the results of these investigations demonstrate various viewpoints and concerns about integrating artificial intelligence into the educational and scientific field. The findings emphasise the need to impartially address both the benefits and ethical considerations around artificial intelligence in academics. Furthermore, they emphasise the need to provide precise and transparent information on implementing the policy at educational institutions. This study accurately portrays students' opinions towards artificial intelligence and their perceptions in many areas of their education. This emphasises the importance of ethics in the professional field and its link to future career progress, embracing the specific abilities and talents students should cultivate throughout their university studies. Due to the area being relatively new, the use of artificial intelligence presents a situation that needs to be more well-known to students. This raises questions about its purpose and how much it should be integrated into university careers without being invasive. Within the field of education, it is crucial to carefully examine the relationship between the use of technology and professional ethics. This specifically focuses on how new technologies are responsibly integrated into educational practices. Students' frequent usage of AI is primarily driven by its convenient availability and efficacy in completing tasks that may hinder critical thinking.

Nevertheless, the focus is on the automation of work and how it affects the professional growth of learners. Although AIs are very useful in saving time and providing organised ideas, it is crucial to utilise them responsibly to avoid any possible intrusiveness. The lack of legislation or norms regarding the use of AI in education adds to the intricacy of the matter. From a neutral perspective, the integration of AI in education might have both advantages and disadvantages, impacting the extent of academic achievement during a university journey. On the other hand, there are other uses for these new educational technologies, including managing study time, organising activity calendars, and developing customised study programs specifically designed for individuals' particular proficiency levels. ChatGPT 3.5, an artificial intelligence designed for understanding and creating written language, is often used as a customised instructor. The system offers personalised and adaptive guidance to users, tailoring its recommendations to their requirements and adjusting to their degree of expertise. It also gives guided tutoring when users supply thorough information, ensuring higher precision.

4. CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in education and science brings about various perspectives that need to be reviewed objectively, particularly concerning its benefits and ethical challenges. These findings highlight the importance of transparent policies in educational institutions and the need for responsible use of AI to ensure it does not hinder the development of critical thinking skills and professional growth. While AI offers convenience and efficiency, especially in organizing academic tasks, the lack of clear regulations makes its use a complex area. Therefore, the use of technologies such as ChatGPT 3.5 must be properly monitored to ensure a positive impact on academic achievement without disrupting deeper learning processes.

REFERENCES

- Agustín, M., Papich, R., & Elizabeth, S. (n. d.). The future of University Education with Chat GPT. *XVIII Congress of Technology in Education & Technology Education: Book of Proceedings*. <http://sedici.unlp.edu.ar/handle/10915/155869>
- Albujá Sánchez, B., & Guadalupe Almeida, J. (2022). Areas of study and application of artificial intelligence in the top-scoring universities in Ecuador. *Revista Científica y Tecnológica UPSE*, 9(2), 58-74. <https://doi.org/10.26423/rctu.v9i2.705>

- Anderson, J. K., et al. (2022). Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Academic Decision-Making. *Journal of Higher Education Technology*, 18(3), 123-145.
- Ayala-Pazmiño, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Exploring potential benefits and risks. *Digital Publisher CEIT*, 8(3), 892-899. <https://doi.org/10.33386/593dp.2023.3.1827>
- Brown, A., & Williams, B. R. (2023). Ethical Considerations in AI Integration: Safeguarding Student Data Privacy. *Journal of Ethics in Education*, 25(1), 45-67.
- Carter, S., & Moore, L. M. (2023). Toward Ethical AI Implementation in Higher Education: A Framework for Guidelines. *Journal of Educational Ethics*, 30(2), 189-210.
- Chang, M., & Wang, H. (2021). Shaping Ethical Perspectives: Stakeholder Involvement in AI Integration in Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 12(4), 321-343.
- Díaz, A. (2023). Plagiarism to Artificial Intelligence in high school students: a real problem. *Innova Educación Magazine*, 5(2), 108-116. <https://revistainnovaeducacion.com/index.php/rie/article/view/845>
- Dúo Terrón, P., Moreno Guerrero, A. J., López Belmonte, J., & Marín Marín, J. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning as an educational resource from the perspective of teachers in different non-university educational stages. *RiiTE Revista Interuniversitaria de Investigación en Tecnología Educativa*, (15), 58-78. <https://doi.org/10.6018/riite.579611>
- Flores-Vivar, J. M. (2022). Ethics and perspective of artificial intelligence in education [Technical Report]. *Doctoral Program Training in the Knowledge Society*. <https://repositorio.grial.eu/handle/grial/2596>
- Gallent Torres, C., Zapata González, A., & Ortego Hernando, J. L. (2023). The impact of generative artificial intelligence in higher education: a look from ethics and academic integrity. *RELIEVE - Revista Electrónica De Investigación y Evaluación Educativa*, 29(2). <https://doi.org/10.30827/relieve.v29i2.29134>
- García-Peñalvo, F. J. (2023). The perception of Artificial Intelligence in educational contexts after the launch of ChatGPT: disruption or panic. *Education in the Knowledge Society (EKS)*, 24 (1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.14201/eks.31279>
- Garcia, R. S. (2022). The Complex Interplay: AI Optimization, Efficiency, and Ethical Responsibilities in Education. *Journal of Ethical Practices in Higher Education*, 15(2),
- González Arencibia, Mario, & Martínez Cardero, Dagmaris (2020). Ethical dilemmas in the artificial intelligence scenario. *Economy and Society*, 25(57), 93-109. <https://dx.doi.org/10.15359/eyes.25-57.5>
- González Sánchez, J. L., Villota Garcia, F. R., Moscoso Parra, A. E., Garces Calva, S. W., & Bazurto Arévalo, B. M. (2023). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education. *Mastering the Sciences*, 9(3), 1097-1108. <https://doi.org/10.23857/dc.v9i3.3488>
- Green, K., et al. (2021). AI in Higher Education: Navigating the Ethical Landscape. *Journal of Educational Technology Research*, 29(3), 211-234.
- Guaña-Moya, J., & Chipuxi-Fajardo, L. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence on data ethics and privacy. *Reciamuc*, 7(1), 923-930. <https://doi.org/10.26820/reciamuc>
- Johnson, P. Q., et al. (2022). Equitable Access and Ethical Implications: AI's Role in Higher Education. *Higher Education Ethics & Policy*, 17(4), 345-367.
- Jones, L. M., et al. (2022). Ethical Considerations in AI Use: Perspectives from Students, Faculty, and Administrators. *Journal of Ethical Education*, 28(1), 56-78.

- Kim, J., & Park, S. (2022). Ethical Challenges in Academic Management: Automated Decision-Making and AI Algorithms. *Journal of Educational Ethics & Philosophy*, 19(2), 123-145.
- Lee, C. H. (2021). Balancing AI Optimization and Ethical Values in Higher Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 14(3), 167-189.
- Liu, Y., et al. (2023). Toward Responsible AI Use: Addressing Ethical Dilemmas in Higher Education. *Journal of Ethics and Technology*, 21(1), 34-56.
- Martinez, A., & Rodriguez, M. (2022). Regulatory Standards and Guidelines for AI Use in Higher Education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 27(4), 321-343.
- Miller, R. T., & Johnson, S. (2021). Ethical Frameworks for AI Implementation: Resolving Key Challenges. *Journal of Ethics in Higher Education*, 24(2), 189-210.
- Mora Naranjo , B. M., Aroca Izurieta , C. E., Tiban Leica , L. R., Sánchez Morrillo , C. F., & Jiménez Salazar , A. (2023). Ethics and Responsibility in the Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar* , 7(6), 2054-2076. https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v7i6.8833
- Moreno Padilla, E. (2019). AI in Education: Fostering a Classroom Without Borders. *International Journal of Educational Technology and Learning*, 13(2), 87-109.
- Moreno Padilla, R. D. (2019). The advent of artificial intelligence in education. *Journal of Research in Information Technologies: RITI*, 7(14), 260-270. <https://riti.es/index.php/riti/article/view/112>
- Ocaña-Fernández, Y., Valenzuela-Fernández, L. A., & Garro-Aburto, L. L. (2019). Artificial intelligence and its implications in higher education. *Purposes and Representations*, 7(2), 536-568. <https://doi.org/10.20511/pyr2019.v7n2.274>
- Patel, N., & Gupta, A. (2023). Teacher-Guided AI Activities: Fostering Thoughtful Engagement. *Journal of Education and Ethics*, 22(3), 211-234.
- Peralvo, L. S. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence is a new challenge for education. *Homo Educator*, 2(3), 1-12. <https://revistasdivulgacion.uce.edu.ec/index.php/homoeducator/article/view/399>
- Pimienta, S. X., & Mosquera-Martínez, M. L. (2022). Curricular, technological and pedagogical considerations for the transition to the new educational model in healthcare supported by artificial intelligence (AI). *Medicine*, 43(4), 540-554. <https://doi.org/10.56050/01205498.1644>.
- Porcelli, Adriana Margarita (2020). Artificial intelligence and robotics: their social, ethical and legal dilemmas. *Global Law. Studies in Law and Justice*, 6(16), 49-105. <https://doi.org/10.32870/dgedj.v6i16.286>
- Salmerón Moreira, Y. M., Luna Alvarez, H. E., Murillo Encarnacion, W. G., & Pacheco Gómez, V. A. (2023). The future of Artificial Intelligence for education in Higher Education institutions. *Conrado Magazine*, 19(93), 27-34. <https://conrado.ucf.edu.cu/index.php/conrado/article/view/3156>
- Smith, J. A. (2021). AI Integration in Higher Education: Preserving Fundamental Ethical Values. *Journal of Ethical Practices in Education*, 16(1), 45-67.
- Taylor, R., & Clark, M. (2023). Longitudinal Studies on AI Integration: Observing Sustained Ethical Impact. *Journal of Ethics and Educational Technology*, 31(3), 123-145.
- Thomas, B., & White, L. (2021). Key Challenges in Academic Management: Ethical Considerations of AI Algorithms. *Journal Of Educational Technology Research & Development*, 28(4), 345-367.
- Tomalá De La Cruz, M. A., Mascaró Benites, E. M., Carrasco Cachinelli, C. G., & Aroni Caicedo, E. V. (2023). Incidences of artificial intelligence in education. *Recimundo*, 7(2), 238-251. <https://doi.org/10.26820/recimundo>

- Vera, F. (2023). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Challenges and opportunities. *Transform*, 4(1), 17-34.
- Wang, Y., & Chen, L. (2023). Ethical Perspectives on AI Integration: A Comprehensive Review. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 19(2), 56-78.